



14 September, 2001

Memo of telephone call 1035AM

From : FBI Agent Jill Euri , Birmingham Alabama

The FBI received a call from [REDACTED] (b) (6) investigative assistant to a city councilman by the name of [REDACTED] (b) (6) (Phone [REDACTED] (b) (6)). They were contacted by a [REDACTED] (b) (6) phone [REDACTED] (b) (6) [REDACTED] (b) (6).

[REDACTED] (b) (6) He was working on a pole near a FAA communication tower at Ishkooda Alabama when the lock to the facility was removed from the security fence while he was on the pole. Later he was talking with a co-worker when the co-worker said the a similar occurrence happened at the FAA communication tower at Ruffen Mountain.

Clark Schadle [REDACTED] (b) (2) High  
Flt. Standards

<sup>200/700</sup>  
ASO SECURITY HAS BEEN NOTIFIED.  
This IS FURNISHED FOR INFO ONLY!

\*\*\*\*\*  
\*\*\* ERROR TX REPORT \*\*\*  
\*\*\*\*\*

TX FUNCTION WAS NOT COMPLETED

TX/RX NO. 7749 (b) (6)  
CONNECTION TEL  
CONNECTION ID  
START TIME 09/14 14:36  
USAGE TIME 00'00  
PAGES 0  
RESULT NG 0 #018

14 September, 2001

Memo of telephone call 1035AM

From : FBI Agent Jill Euri , Birmingham Alabama

The FBI received a call from (b) (6)  
councilman by the name of (b) (6)  
(b) (6) ted by a

He was working on a pole near a FAA  
communication tower at Ishkooda Alabama when the lock to the facility  
was removed from the security fence while he was on the pole. Later he  
was talking with a co-worker when the co-worker said the a similar  
occurrence happened at the FAA communication tower at Ruffen  
Mountain.

Clark Schadle (b) (2) High  
Flt. Standards

<sup>200/700</sup>  
ASO SECURITY HAS BEEN NOTIFIED.  
This IS FURNISHED FOR INFO ONLY!

14 September, 2001

Memo of telephone call 1035AM

From : FBI Agent Jill Euri , Birmingham Alabama

The FBI received a call from the administrative assistant to a city councilman by the name of (b) (6)

They were contacted by a (b) (6)

(b) (6) He was working on a pole near a FAA communication tower at Ishkooda Alabama when the lock to the facility was removed from the security fence while he was on the pole. Later he was talking with a co-worker when the co-worker said the a similar occurrence happened at the FAA communication tower at Ruffen Mountain.

Clark Schadle

Flt. Standards (b) (2) High

6792

FAA security → Charlie Phillips is working issue -



NY FSDO  
SOP  
Date: 9/13/01 5:34 PM  
Sender: Gene Broshears  
To: Dawn Veatch; Tom Stuntebeck  
Priority: Normal  
Subject: (b) (6)

Dawn/Tom  
we received the below info from Delta regarding a Safety Inspector Mr. (b) (6) out of NY Garden City FSDO who is in CVG Cincinnati. We have no contact with this individual. Please provide guidance on whether we should handle this or will you pass this to his region for clarification. We understand there is two sides to each story and are just passing along what Delta has presented. Awaiting your response.

Gene Broshears  
Acting Principal Maintenance Inspector

9-13-01  
6:25 PM  
CCMAIL FORWARDED TO  
EASTERN REGION S NY FSDO  
JBT

Forward Header

Subject: (b) (6)  
Author: Steve Cummins at ASODALCMO  
Date: 9/13/01 4:53 PM

Gene and Pat,

Here're my notes from my phone conversation with Mr. Gary Pratt of Delta MX at CVG concerning Inspector (b) (6) actions at CVG today:

Issue started with a hydraulic leak that was discovered during flight preparation on a B-757 that had been on ground for two days. Leak was coming from actuator above right main gear. Mx pinned gear, cycled gear handle several times and leak stopped. They wiped clean, signed it off, and turned over to crew. At some point, Inspector (b) (6) from NYC FSDO did walkaround and saw residual hydraulic fluid. He contacted Delta Mx and was confrontational in his dealings with the Delta AMT in resolving his concerns. According to Mr. Pratt, Inspector (b) (6):

1. asked very difficult questions of the AMT, ones which the AMT was placed in a position of being afraid to answer because of fear of being mis-interpreted.
2. used inappropriate, confrontational approach and tone.
3. asked for the AMT's home phone number.

This was observed and confirmed by the AMT's supervisor/lead. The AMT went so far as to re-approach Inspector (b) (6) to respectfully state that he felt badly because they had started off poorly and that he wanted to attempt to peacefully resolve the Inspector's concerns. (b) (6) apparently would have none of this and refused, saying that the AMT would be getting a letter.

Mr. Pratt's work number is: (b) (6) and cell number is: (b) (6)

I do not have the AMT's name or contact info.

Regards, Steve